

Extracted from:

Python Testing with pytest, Second Edition

Simple, Rapid, Effective, and Scalable

This PDF file contains pages extracted from *Python Testing with pytest, Second Edition*, published by the Pragmatic Bookshelf. For more information or to purchase a paperback or PDF copy, please visit <http://www.pragprog.com>.

Note: This extract contains some colored text (particularly in code listing). This is available only in online versions of the books. The printed versions are black and white. Pagination might vary between the online and printed versions; the content is otherwise identical.

Copyright © 2022 The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior consent of the publisher.

The Pragmatic Bookshelf

Raleigh, North Carolina

The
Pragmatic
Programmers

Python Testing with pytest

Second Edition



Simple, Rapid,
Effective, and
Scalable

Brian Okken
edited by Katharine Dvorak

Python Testing with pytest, Second Edition

Simple, Rapid, Effective, and Scalable

Brian Okken

The Pragmatic Bookshelf

Raleigh, North Carolina



Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial capital letters or in all capitals. The Pragmatic Starter Kit, The Pragmatic Programmer, Pragmatic Programming, Pragmatic Bookshelf, PragProg and the linking *g* device are trademarks of The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

Every precaution was taken in the preparation of this book. However, the publisher assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages that may result from the use of information (including program listings) contained herein.

For our complete catalog of hands-on, practical, and Pragmatic content for software developers, please visit <https://pragprog.com>.

The team that produced this book includes:

CEO: Dave Rankin

COO: Janet Furlow

Managing Editor: Tammy Coron

Development Editor: Katharine Dvorak

Copy Editor: Karen Galle

Indexing: Potomac Indexing, LLC

Layout: Gilson Graphics

Founders: Andy Hunt and Dave Thomas

For sales, volume licensing, and support, please contact support@pragprog.com.

For international rights, please contact rights@pragprog.com.

Copyright © 2022 The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior consent of the publisher.

ISBN-13: 978-1-68050-860-4

Encoded using the finest acid-free high-entropy binary digits.

Book version: P1.0—February 2022

Mocking

In the last chapter, we tested the Cards project through the API. In this chapter, we're going to test the CLI. When we wrote the test strategy for the Cards project in [Writing a Test Strategy, on page ?](#), we included the following statement:

- Test the CLI enough to verify the API is getting properly called for all features.

We're going to use the mock package to help us with that. Shipped as part of the Python standard library as `unittest.mock` as of Python 3.3,¹ the mock package is used to swap out pieces of the system to isolate bits of our application code from the rest of the system. *Mock objects* are sometimes called *test doubles*, *spies*, *fakes*, or *stubs*. Between pytest's own `monkeypatch` fixture (covered in [Using monkeypatch, on page ?](#)) and mock, you should have all the test double functionality you need.

In this chapter, we'll take a look at using mock to help us test the Cards CLI. We'll also look at using the `CliRunner` provided by Typer to assist in testing.

Isolating the Command-Line Interface

The Cards CLI uses the Typer library² to handle all of the command-line parts, and then it passes the real logic off to the Cards API. In testing the Cards CLI, the idea is that we'd like to test the code within `cli.py` and cut off access to the rest of the system. To do that, we have to look at `cli.py` to see how it's accessing the rest of Cards.

The `cli.py` module accesses the rest of the Cards system through an import of `cards`:

-
1. <https://docs.python.org/3/library/unittest.mock.html>
 2. <https://pypi.org/project/typer>

```
cards_proj/src/cards/cli.py
import cards
```

Through this cards namespace, cli.py accesses:

- cards.__version__ (a string)
- cards.CardDB (a class representing the main API methods)
- cards.InvalidCardID (an exception)
- cards.Card (the primary data type for use between the CLI and API)

Most of the API access is through a context manager that creates a cards.CardsDB object:

```
cards_proj/src/cards/cli.py
@contextmanager
def cards_db():
    db_path = get_path()
    db = cards.CardsDB(db_path)
    yield db
    db.close()
```

Most of the functions work through that object. For example, the start command accesses db.start() through db, a CardsDB instance:

```
cards_proj/src/cards/cli.py
@app.command()
def start(card_id: int):
    """Set a card state to 'in prog'."""
    with cards_db() as db:
        try:
            db.start(card_id)
        except cards.InvalidCardId:
            print(f"Error: Invalid card id {card_id}")
```

Both add and update also use the cards.Card data structure we've played with before:

```
cards_proj/src/cards/cli.py
db.add_card(cards.Card(summary, owner, state="todo"))
```

And the version command looks up cards.__version__:

```
cards_proj/src/cards/cli.py
@app.command()
def version():
    """Return version of cards application"""
    print(cards.__version__)
```

For the sake of what to mock for testing the CLI, let's mock both __version__ and CardsDB.

The version command looks pretty simple. It just accesses `cards._version__` and prints that. We'll start there. But first, let's look at how Typer helps us with testing.

Testing with Typer

A great feature of Typer is that it provides a testing interface. With it, we can call our application without having to resort to using `subprocess.run`, which is good, because we can't mock stuff running in a separate process. (We looked at a short example of using `subprocess.run` with `test_version_v1` in [Using capsys, on page ?](#).) We just need to give the runner's `invoke` function our app—`cards.app`—and a list of strings that represents the command.

Here's an example of invoking the version function:

```
ch10/test_typer_testing.py
from typer.testing import CliRunner
from cards.cli import app

runner = CliRunner()

def test_typer_runner():
    result = runner.invoke(app, ["version"])
    print()
    print(f"version: {result.stdout}")

    result = runner.invoke(app, ["list", "-o", "brian"])
    print(f"list:\n{result.stdout}")
```

In the example test:

- To run `cards version`, we run `runner.invoke(app, ["version"])`.
- To run `cards list -o brian`, we run `runner.invoke(app, ["list", "-o", "brian"])`.

We don't have to include “cards” in the list to send to the app, and the rest of the string is split into a list of strings.

Let's run this code and see what happens:

```
$ cd /path/to/code/ch10
$ pytest -v -s test_typer_testing.py::test_typer_runner
===== test session starts =====
collected 1 item

test_typer_testing.py::test_typer_runner
version: 1.0.0

list:
ID    state  owner  summary
```

```
3      todo      brian      Finish second edition
PASSED
===== 1 passed in 0.05s =====
```

Looks like it works, and is running against the live database.

However, before we move on, let's write a helper function called `cards_cli`. We know we're going to invoke the app plenty of times during testing the CLI, so let's simplify it a bit:

```
ch10/test_typer_testing.py
import shlex

def cards_cli(command_string):
    command_list = shlex.split(command_string)
    result = runner.invoke(app, command_list)
    output = result.stdout.rstrip()
    return output

def test_cards_cli():
    result = cards_cli("version")
    print()
    print(f"version: {result}")
    result = cards_cli("list -o brian")
    print(f"list:\n{result}")
```

This allows us to let `shlex.split()` turn "list -o brian" into ["list", "-o", "brian"] for us, as well as grab the output and return it.

Now we're ready to get back to mocking.

Mocking an Attribute

Most of the Cards API is accessed through a `CardsDB` object, but one entry point is just an attribute, `cards.__version__`. Let's look at how we can use mocking to make sure the value from `cards.__version__` is correctly reported through the CLI.

There are several patch methods within the mock package. We'll be using `patch.object`. We'll use it primarily in its context manager form. Here's what it looks like to mock `__version__`:

```
ch10/test_mock.py
from unittest import mock

import cards
import pytest
from cards.cli import app
from typer.testing import CliRunner
```

```
runner = CliRunner()

def test_mock_version():
    with mock.patch.object(cards, "__version__", "1.2.3"):
        result = runner.invoke(app, ["version"])
        assert result.stdout.rstrip() == "1.2.3"
```

In our test code, we import `cards`. The resulting `cards` object is what we're going to be patching. The call to `mock.patch.object()` used as a context manager within a `with` block returns a mock object that is cleaned up after the `with` block.

In this case, the `__version__` attribute of `cards` is replaced with `"1.2.3"` for the duration of the `with` block. We then use `invoke` to call our application with the `"version"` command. The `print` statement within the `version()` method will add a newline, which we are stripping with `result.stdout.rstrip()` to make the comparison easier.

When the `version()` method is called from the CLI code, the `__version__` attribute isn't the original string, it's the string we replaced with `patch.object()`.

Mock is replacing part of our system with something else, namely mock objects. With mock objects, we can do lots of stuff, like setting attribute values, return values for callables, and even look at how callables are called.

If that last bit was confusing, you're not alone. This weirdness is one of the reasons many people avoid mocking altogether. Once you get your head around that, the rest kinda sorta makes sense.

In the upcoming sections, we'll look at mocking classes and methods of classes.