

Extracted from:

Swift Style, Second Edition

An Opinionated Guide to an Opinionated Language

This PDF file contains pages extracted from *Swift Style, Second Edition*, published by the Pragmatic Bookshelf. For more information or to purchase a paperback or PDF copy, please visit <http://www.pragprog.com>.

Note: This extract contains some colored text (particularly in code listing). This is available only in online versions of the books. The printed versions are black and white. Pagination might vary between the online and printed versions; the content is otherwise identical.

Copyright © 2019 The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior consent of the publisher.

The Pragmatic Bookshelf

Raleigh, North Carolina

The
Pragmatic
Programmers

Swift Style

Second Edition

An Opinionated Guide
to an Opinionated
Language



Erica Sadun
edited by Brian MacDonald

Swift Style, Second Edition

An Opinionated Guide to an Opinionated Language

Erica Sadun

The Pragmatic Bookshelf

Raleigh, North Carolina



Many of the designations used by manufacturers and sellers to distinguish their products are claimed as trademarks. Where those designations appear in this book, and The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC was aware of a trademark claim, the designations have been printed in initial capital letters or in all capitals. The Pragmatic Starter Kit, The Pragmatic Programmer, Pragmatic Programming, Pragmatic Bookshelf, PragProg and the linking *g* device are trademarks of The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

Every precaution was taken in the preparation of this book. However, the publisher assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions, or for damages that may result from the use of information (including program listings) contained herein.

Our Pragmatic books, screencasts, and audio books can help you and your team create better software and have more fun. Visit us at <https://pragprog.com>.

For sales, volume licensing, and support, please contact support@pragprog.com.

For international rights, please contact rights@pragprog.com.

Copyright © 2019 The Pragmatic Programmers, LLC.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form, or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior consent of the publisher.

ISBN-13: 978-1-68050-627-3

Book version: B1.0—August 15, 2018

Selecting Colon Styles

The Ash Rule (named for developer luminary Mike Ash) adopts left-hugging colons in all uses except operator declarations and ternary forms. His rule applies to dictionary references, protocols, and so forth:

```
let dict = ["a": 1, "b": 2] // Ash Rule
let dict = ["a" : 1, "b" : 2] // Commonly used
```

Here are a few examples of magnetic-left (that is, left-hugging) colons in common use cases:

```
let x: [String: String] = ["key": "value"]
let y = foo(param1: value1, param2: value2)
func bar<T: Hashable>(a: T) -> Void {}
```

Moving to this style felt odd for a while but I've now grown used to it. This approach is consistent and prioritizes the role of the left item. It is, in my opinion, quite readable. Compare and contrast with my prior style, which I call the Full Space Monty approach:

```
let x : [String : String] = ["key" : "value"]
let y = foo(param1 : value1, param2 : value2)
func bar<T : Hashable>(a : T) -> Void {}
```

The Ash Rule puts you in step with more than half of Apple source code and out of step with the rest. Colon magnetism tends to vary by group but it is growing as a trend.

Some people use magnetic-left except for protocol conformance and inheritance clauses. Adding spaces before colons elevates prominence. For example, in extension AnyHashable : Equatable, the spacing makes colons stand out more. In theory, this enables readers to better identify the colon's use point.

Here's an old example from Swift's source code that showcases this style before this type moved to magnetic-left style. Notice the Full Monty spacing for the generic type parameter and protocol conformance:

```
public struct EnumeratedIterator<
    Base : IteratorProtocol
> : IteratorProtocol, Sequence {
    internal var _base: Base
    internal var _count: Int
}
```

The current implementation updates both wrapping and colon use. (It also drops conformance to IteratorProtocol and Sequence.) I think it's easier to read now:

```
public struct EnumeratedIterator<Base: IteratorProtocol> {
```

```
internal var _base: Base
internal var _count: Int
```

Apple Developer Publications uses left-magnetic colons. (See this tweet,³ for example.) This style is simple and readable:

```
class SimpleClass: ExampleProtocol {
    var simpleDescription: String = "A very simple class."
    var anotherProperty: Int = 69105
    func adjust() {
        simpleDescription += " Now 100% adjusted."
    }
}
```

In operator declarations, conjoined colons aren't legal. They confuse the compiler because Swift assumes the colon is part of the operator name. Skip left-hugging colons in ternary expressions because they unnaturally prioritize the left value over the right:

```
let result = booleanCondition ? value1: value2 // no
let result = booleanCondition ? value1 : value2 // yes

infix operator &&: LogicalConjunctionPrecedence // no
infix operator && : LogicalConjunctionPrecedence // yes
```

Common Colon Styles

When it comes to colon style, there aren't any naturally right or wrong answers. Styles break down into the following common categories:

Label declarations

```
func f(x: T), f(x y: T)
```

Most commonly magnetic left

Constant and variable typing

```
let x: T
```

Most commonly magnetic left

Dictionary declarations

```
[key: value]
```

Consensus is split between full spacing and magnetic left with magnetic left becoming prevalent.

Empty dictionaries

```
[:] and not [: ]
```

3. <https://twitter.com/jackhl/status/646723367576276992>

Universal consensus: no spaces

Cases

case a:, case a, b:

Universal consensus: magnetic left

Attribute

@attribute(key: value), for example, @available(*, unavailable, renamed: "MyRenamed")
 @available(swift, deprecated: 4.1, obsoleted: 5.0.0, renamed: "copyMemory(from:byteCount:)")

Consensus: magnetic left

Inheritance

Derived: Parent

Consensus split between full spacing and magnetic left, with magnetic left becoming increasingly more common.

Conformance

<T: A>, <T: A & B>

Consensus is split between full spacing and magnetic left, with magnetic left becoming increasingly more common.

Operator conformance

public func <= <T>...

Add a space between the operator symbol and its generic declaration.

Ternary

A ? B : C

Always use fully spaced ternary expressions.

Some coders blaspheme and use no spaces at all between labels and argument values. Although this offers a slight advantage (Xcode wraps the two together on long lines), it's an uncommon style and possibly a sin of commission.

```
unsafeBitCast(type, to: Int.self) // yes
String(validatingUTF8: ptr) // yes
unsafeBitCast(type, to: Int.self) // no
String(validatingUTF8: ptr) // no
unsafeBitCast(type, to: Int.self) // no
```

Using Type-Magnetic Colons

As with any style, there are always a few outlier users. Leung-style typing (named for Kenny Leung) uses type-magnetic colons, as in the following example:

```
var soccer :Ball = newBall
```

Here, the colon hugs the type rather than being tied to the symbol or using full spacing. Under this paradigm, a colon introduces the type using a right-magnetic style. While this approach will never be my personal choice, if used consistently, it offers a rational coding alternative to the majority Swift convention.

- Colons are as worthy of style consideration as any other language member.
- Spaces communicate prominence.
- Adopt consistent styling, regardless of language construct.
- Type-magnetic colons are just plain weird. I avoid them.